STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email
soumyasubhammohapatra@gmail.com
Semester
3rd sem
Branch
Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?		
○ Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
● Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email binoybehera90@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness	s of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on whi	ch contents were covered ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation ar	nd inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email sasmalomkar7@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH ■ BMCT EC-I TVO
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the	e development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the tead	cher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback pr	ovided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
\circ	Very good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Poor
\bigcirc	Very poor
\circ	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT
EC-IEVSOther:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
⊚ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SMGEO TECHBMCTEC-I
O EVS O Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teache	r in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teache	r in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to off	er help and advice to student.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willin	ngness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent	ent
O Very g	good
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	poor
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond sy	yllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
⊚ Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical conf	ent/Course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication	on skills ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for	the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the te	eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback	provided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com
Semester
Branch
SUBJECT
○ SM ○ GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
○ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email satyanandamishra39@gmail.com
Semester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
C Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the tea	acher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback p	provided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email lijakatayat@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for	r the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the t	teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback	r provided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer h	elp and advice to student.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty			
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.			
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.			
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF			
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF			
Suryakant Dash,PTGF			
Other:			
Civil feedback			
TEACHING & LEARNING			
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?			
Excellent			
Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q.3 Has the Te	eacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiven	ness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiven	ness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email yasobantakar@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
C Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
● Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
● Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Tea	acher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectivene	ess of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectivene	ess of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the	development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teach	ner encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback prov	vided on students Progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM○ GEO TECH
O BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the	e development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the tead	cher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback pro	ovided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email lijakatayat@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good
 Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ? © Excellent O Very good
ExcellentVery good
ExcellentVery good
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email binoybehera90@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
○ Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Exce	
	ellent
O Very	y good
Good	d
Poor	г
O Very	poor
Othe	er:
Q.4 Effec	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
Good	d
O Poor	r
Very	poor
Othe	er:
Q.5 Effec	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
Good	d
O Poor	r
O Very	poor
Othe	er:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	g ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Tea	acher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectivenes	ss of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectivenes	ss of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: C. 9 Excellent Other: C. 10 Excellent Other: C. 11 Excellent Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.6 Effectiveness of	f Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: 0. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 0. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to leam? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
Other: Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Odd Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Case Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q. 7 Pace on which	contents were covered ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation and	inspiration for students to learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
O Poor O Others	O Very good	
○ Very poor	Good	
Oak and	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Other:	Q.9	Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	O	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	0	Very good
Other: Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	0	Good
Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	\bigcirc	Poor
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Call Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor	0	Other:
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Call Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other Still 	Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	\bigcirc	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Very good
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. ⑥ Excellent ○ Very good ○ Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	0	Good
Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	\bigcirc	Poor
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor		Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	\bigcirc	Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
Good Poor Very poor	•	Excellent
O Poor O Other	0	Very good
O Oll	0	Good
	\bigcirc	Poor
Other:		Very poor
	0	Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester
Branch
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher of	covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of T	eacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of T	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com
Semester
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM○ GEO TECH
O BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: C.9 Excellent Very good Other: C.10 Excellent Other: C.20 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.6 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very good	
Very poor Other: 0. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 2.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Ocher: Cypy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Case Second Very good Good Very good Good Very good Good Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q. 7 Pace on which	h contents were covered ?
 Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation and	I inspiration for students to learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Other:	O Very good	
○ Very poor	Good	
Other:	O Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.9	Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
\bigcirc	Good
\circ	Poor
\circ	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
0	Excellent
0	Very good
()	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
\circ	Other:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
\circ	Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
GoodPoorVery poorOther:
Other:
Other:
Other:
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
○ Very good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email yasobantakar@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
•	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.4 I	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
•	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.5 I	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
0	Excellent
	Very good
\cup	
	Good
O	Good Poor
•	
	Poor

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email lijakatayat@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect. Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect. Kusmita Nayak PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Nam	e of the faculty
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	0 9	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	0	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O 1	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	● E	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	0 9	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Good Cond Cond Cond Cond Cond Cond Cond C	0	Other:
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Good Cond Cond Cond Cond Cond Cond Cond C		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Other: College/Board?	Civil	feedback
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	TEACH	HING & LEARNING
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: ■ Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q1. H	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	● E	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0 1	Very good
 Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	0	Good
Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O F	Poor
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor	0 1	Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	0	Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q 2.	Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Good Poor Very poor	● E	Excellent
Other:	0 '	Very good
Other:	0	Good
Other:	O F	Poor
Other:	0 1	Very poor
	0	Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Occupancy of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Occupancy of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Occupancy	Q.3 Has the Teacher	covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Yery good Good Poor Very good Good Oward of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q.4 Effectiveness of	Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good	
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.5 Effectiveness of	Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Good Poor Very poor Other:	Excellent	
Other:	Very good	
Other:	Good	
Other:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Supp	port for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exce	llent
Very	good
Good	d .
Poor	
O Very	poor
Othe	r:
Q.10 Wa	s the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exce	llent
O Very	good
Good	d .
Poor	
O Very	poor
Othe	r:
Q.11 Fee	edback provided on students Progress.
Exce	llent
O Very	good
Good	
Poor	
O Very	poor
Othe	r:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT ○ EC-I
○ EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teach	ner in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which conter	nts were covered ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspirat	tion for students to learn ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Excellent	Q.9 S	Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O 1	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	• '	Very good
Other: Other:	0	Good
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O 1	Poor
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	0 '	Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Other:
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O 1	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	• '	Very good
Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Good
Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Poor
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0 '	Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Other:
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
Good Poor Very poor	O 1	Excellent
Other:	• '	Very good
○ Very poor	0	Good
Other:	0	Poor
Other:	0 '	Very poor
	0	Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Good Poor Very poor Other:
PoorVery poorOther:
Very poor Other:
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email satyanandamishra39@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
• E	Excellent
0 \	Very good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 /	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.4 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
O E	Excellent
0 \	Very good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 \	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.5 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
	Excellent
• E	Excellent Very good
E	
EVO	Very good
	Very good Good
EVOFO	Very good Good Poor

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 \$	Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q.1	Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.			
•	Excellent			
0	Very good			
0	Good			
0	Poor			
0	Very poor			
0	Other:			

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email nayaksagarnd@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH → BMCT ← EC-I ← EVS → Other:

Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect. Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect. Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Name of the faculty	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Foor Very good Good Others Character organised and prepared for every class?	Other:	
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Other: College/Board?	Civil feedback	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	TEACHING & LEARNING	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: ■ Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	○ Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Good	
Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor	
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?	
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
O Other:	○ Very good	
Other:	Good	
Other:	O Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?		
● E	Excellent	
0 /	Very good	
0	Good	
O F	Poor	
0 /	Very poor	
0	Other:	
Q. 7 l	Pace on which contents were covered ?	
(E	Excellent	
\(\)	Very good	
0	Good	
O F	Poor	
0 /	Very poor	
0	Other:	
Q.8 N	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
● E	Excellent	
0 /	Very good	
0	Good	
O F	Poor	
0 /	Very poor	
0	Other:	

Q.9 S	upport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
E	xcellent
O v	ery good
() G	sood .
O P	oor
O v	ery poor
0 0	ther:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
E	xcellent
O v	ery good
O G	lood
O P	Poor
O v	ery poor
0 0	ther:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
E	xcellent
O v	ery good
O G	cood
O P	oor
O v	ery poor
0 0	ther:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
● Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teach	her covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
○ 5 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
	of founds in terms of communication dataset.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● SM
○ GEO TECH ○ BMCT
O EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Other:	
Civil feedback	
TEACHING & LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ? Excellent 	Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn?	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Other: Other Students to learn?	O Very good
Other: Other: Other: Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	● Good
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Other:	O Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: G.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good
Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other:	Very good
Other:	Good
Other:	O Poor
Other:	O Very poor
	Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email barik.ritesh123@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
 Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	
Other: Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor	
ExcellentVery goodGoodPoorVery poor	
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	
Good Poor Very poor	
O Poor O Very poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support fo	or the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the	teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedbac	ck provided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** SM ○ GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the T	eacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiven	ness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiven	ness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Su	apport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Ex	cellent
O Ve	ery good
O Go	pod
O Po	por
O Ve	ery poor
Otl	her:
Q.10 V	Vas the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Ex	cellent
O Ve	ery good
O Go	pod .
O Po	oor
O Ve	ery poor
Ot	her:
Q.11 F	eedback provided on students Progress.
○ Ex	cellent
O Ve	ery good
O Go	pod .
O Po	por
O Ve	ery poor
Ot!	her:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good
 Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? © Excellent Very good
ExcellentVery good
ExcellentVery good
○ Very good
O Cood
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor 	Excellent	
Good Poor Very poor Other: 0. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 0.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good		
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Good Good	O Very good	
Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Good Good Good Other: — <	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Good	O Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Good	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good 		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Good	Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good	O Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good 	Good	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good	O Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good	O Very poor	
ExcellentVery goodGood	Other:	
ExcellentVery goodGood		
○ Very good ○ Good	Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Good	Excellent	
	O Very good	
O Poor	Good	
	O Poor	
O Very poor	O Very poor	
Other:	Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of s	students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged di	scussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students	Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has th	ne Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excelle	ent
O Very g	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	
Q.4 Effecti	iveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excelle	ent
O Very g	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	
Q.5 Effecti	iveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excelle	ent
O Very g	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support	for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	t .
O Very goo	od .
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q.10 Was th	e teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od .
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q.11 Feedba	ack provided on students Progress.
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od .
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I
O EVS O Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teache	r encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provi	ded on students Progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Poor Very poor	
Very poor	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
O BMCT
○ EC-I
○ EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for	the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the te	eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback	provided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email yasobant@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
C Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.9 Support for the	ne development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very good	
Other: Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Good	
Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Very goor	Other:	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Very goor		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q.10 Was the tea	cher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. ○ Excellent ○ Very good ● Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	Good	
Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.11 Feedback p	rovided on students Progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
O Poor O Other:	Very good	
○ Very poor	Good	
O*hor:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.1	12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
0	Excellent
0	Very good
O	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email binoybehera90@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM○ GEO TECH● BMCT
○ EC-I ○ EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Email
saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH → BMCT ← EC-I ← EVS Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellen	t
O Very goo	pd bod
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q.4 Effective	eness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellen	t
O Very goo	bd
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q.5 Effective	eness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellen	t
O Very goo	pd bod
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	

Q.9 S	support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
E	excellent
O v	'ery good
O 6	Good
O P	Poor
O v	ery poor
0 0	Other:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
E	excellent
O v	ery good
O G	Good
O P	Poor Poor
O v	'ery poor
0 0	Other:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
E	excellent
O v	ery good
O G	Good
O P	Poor
O v	'ery poor
0 0	Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.		
Email lijakatayat@gmail.com		
Semester ③ 3rd sem		
Branch Civil Engg.		
SUBJECT		
 SM GEO TECH BMCT €C-I EVS 		
Other:		

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
○ Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Oivil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM ○ GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email sasmalomkar7@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM
○ GEO TECH
О вмст
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
● Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
● Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.3	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: C.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	0	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	0	Very good
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	()	Good
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	\circ	Poor
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Food Very good Good Very good	0	Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other:	0	Other:
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other:		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q.4	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
 ● Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: □ Excellent ○ Very good ● Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	0	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	\circ	Very good
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? ○ Excellent ○ Very good ● Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	O	Good
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	\circ	Poor
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	\circ	Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	0	Other:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.5	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Good Poor Very poor Other:	0	Excellent
Other:	0	Very good
Other:	()	Good
Other:	0	Poor
Other:	0	Very poor
	0	Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
0	Excellent
0	Very good
O	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
● SM
○ GEO TECH ○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Other:	
Civil feedback	
TEACHING & LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Har	nds on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email satyanandamishra39@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I
Other:

	ne of the faculty
0	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
0	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
0	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
•	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
0	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
0	Other:
Civi	l feedback
TEAC	CHING & LEARNING
Q1.	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
	Excellent
	EXOCION .
O	Very good
0	
OOO	Very good
	Very good Good
	Very good Good Poor
• 0 0 0 0	Very good Good Poor Very poor
0 0 0 0	Very good Good Poor Very poor
0 0 0 0	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Q 2	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Q 2	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? Excellent
Q 2	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? Excellent Very good
Q 2	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Sup	port for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	good
O Goo	d
O Poo	r
O Very	poor
Othe	er:
Q.10 Wa	as the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d
O Poo	r
O Very	poor
Othe	er:
Q.11 Fe	edback provided on students Progress.
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d
O Poo	r
O Very	poor
Othe	er:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Email Semester a 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:	STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
 ③ 3rd sem Branch ⑥ Civil Engg. SUBJECT ③ SM ⑥ GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS 	Email
 Civil Engg. SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS 	
 SM ● GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS 	
	SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 S	Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
• E	Excellent
0 1	/ery good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 1	/ery poor
0	Other:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
O E	Excellent
0 1	/ery good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 1	/ery poor
0	Other:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
() E	Excellent
0 1	/ery good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 /	/ery poor
0	Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email Good
Semester
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Sı	upport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Ex	ccellent
O Ve	ery good
O G	boo
O Po	por
O Ve	ery poor
O 01	ther:
Q.10 V	Vas the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Ex	ccellent
O Ve	ery good
O G	bod
O Po	por
O Ve	ery poor
O 01	ther:
Q.11 F	Feedback provided on students Progress.
Ex	ccellent
O Ve	ery good
O G	boo
O Po	oor
O Ve	ery poor
O 01	ther:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
О вмст
O EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.1	12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
0	Excellent
0	Very good
O	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email nayaksagarnd@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Oivil Engg. **SUBJECT** SM ○ GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Other:	
Civil feedback	
TEACHING & LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q. 7	Pace on which contents were covered ?
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.8 N	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q.9 \$	Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q.1	Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
()	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
0	Poor	
0	Very poor	
0	Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email lijakatayat@gmail.com
Semester
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.	12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM○ GEO TECH● BMCT
EC-IEVSOther:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 H	las the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
(E	xcellent
V	ery good
O G	Good
O P	Poor
O V	ery poor
0 0	ther:
Q.4 E	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
(E	xcellent
V	ery good
O G	Sood
O P	Poor Poor
O V	'ery poor
0 0	ther:
Q.5 E	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
● E:	xcellent
O V	'ery good
G	Good
O P	oor contract the second
O V	'ery poor
0	ther:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SMGEO TECH● BMCT
○ EC-I ○ EVS ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covere	d relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher	in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher	r in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor
Good Poor Very poor Other: C.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Good
Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Good
Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Good Good
Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Good
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Good
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Good
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good
Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good
Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good
Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. © Excellent O Very good Good
ExcellentVery goodGood
✓ Very good✓ Good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Email yasobant@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT €C-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.3 Has	the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: C.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	○ Exce	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very	y good
Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	⊙ Goo	d d
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	r
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Food Very good Good Very goor	O Very	y poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other:	Othe	er:
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other:		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q.4 Effe	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
 ● Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: □ Excellent ○ Very good ● Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	C Exce	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	O Very	y good
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? ○ Excellent ○ Very good ● Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	⊚ Goo	d d
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	r
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	/ poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Othe	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.5 Effe	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Good Poor Very poor Other:	C Exce	ellent
Other:	O Very	y good
Other:	⊚ Goo	d
Other:	O Poo	r
Other:	O Very	/ poor
	Othe	er:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Other:	
Civil feedback	
TEACHING & LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
○ Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Suppor	t for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exceller	nt
O Very go	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very po	or
Other:	
Q.10 Was th	ne teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exceller	nt
O Very go	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very po	or
Other:	
Q.11 Feedb	ack provided on students Progress.
Exceller	nt
O Very go	od
Good	
O Poor	
O Very po	or
Other:	

Q.1	12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
C Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.6 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
•	Very poor
0	Other:
Q. 7	Pace on which contents were covered ?
	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
• '	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.8 I	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
•	Very poor
0	Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.12 \	Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
(E	xcellent
O V	ery good
O G	sood .
O P	Poor
V	ery poor
0 0	ther:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM ● GEO TECH → BMCT ← EC-I ← EVS
O Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEWI(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.	
Email barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com	
Semester	
● 3rd sem	
Branch	
Civil Engg.	
SUBJECT	
SM SM	
SMGEO TECH	
○ GEO TECH	
○ GEO TECH ○ BMCT	
GEO TECH○ BMCT○ EC-I	
GEO TECHBMCTEC-IEVS	
GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS	
GEO TECHBMCTEC-IEVS	
GEO TECHBMCTEC-IEVS	
GEO TECHBMCTEC-IEVS	

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
○ Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 S	upport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
(E	xcellent
V	ery good
O G	ood
O P	oor
O v	ery poor
0 0	ther:
Q.10 '	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
E	xcellent
O v	ery good
O G	ood
O P	oor
O v	ery poor
0 0	ther:
Q.11 I	Feedback provided on students Progress.
● E	xcellent
O v	ery good
O G	ood
O P	oor
O v	ery poor
0 0	ther:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q. 7	Pace on which contents were covered ?
0	Excellent
()	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.8	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ? Excellent
	Excellent
	Excellent Very good
	Excellent Very good Good
	Excellent Very good Good Poor

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

O EC-I

EVS

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM GEO TECH BMCT

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Te	acher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which cor	ntents were covered ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and insp	piration for students to learn ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

ExcellentVery goodGoodPoorVery poor	
Good Poor	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questi	ions.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Su	pport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exc	cellent
O Ver	ry good
Go	ood
O Po	oor
O Ver	ry poor
Oth	her:
Q.10 W	Vas the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exc	cellent
O Ver	ry good
O Go	ood
O Po	or
O Ve	ry poor
Oth	her:
Q.11 Fe	eedback provided on students Progress.
Exc	cellent
O Ve	ry good
O Go	ood .
O Po	oor
O Ve	ry poor
Oth	her:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email barik.ritesh123@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT C EC-I (EVS

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Compared to the service of t	Q.6 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: 9. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 9. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 9. Excellent Other: Other: Other: Other Other Other	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other:	O Very good	
Very poor Other: O. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other Other Other	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q. 7 Pace on whic	h contents were covered ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation and	d inspiration for students to learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Other:	O Very good	
Other:	Good	
Other:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.9 Sup	port for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d d
O Poo	r
O Very	y poor
Othe	er:
Q.10 Wa	as the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d d
O Poo	r
O Very	/ poor
Othe	er:
Q.11 Fee	edback provided on students Progress.
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d
O Poo	r
O Very	y poor
Othe	er:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Email saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com Semester a 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS	STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS 	
 ● Civil Engg. SUBJECT → SM → GEO TECH → BMCT ● EC-I ← EVS 	
 SM GEO TECH BMCT €C-I EVS 	
GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS	SUBJECT
	GEO TECH BMCT EC-I

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
GoodPoorVery poor
O Poor O Very poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
O Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	Excellent
C	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
0	Excellent
0	Very good
•	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
	Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent
0	
0	Excellent
	Excellent Very good
	Excellent Very good Good

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email Very good
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT O SM
 ● GEO TECH ○ BMCT ○ EC-I ○ EVS ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support f	for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
Very good	i
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the	e teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
Very good	i
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedbad	ck provided on students Progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	I
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email binoybehera90@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT € EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
C Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on train	Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?		
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email asishb057@gmail.com
Semester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
C Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the	Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellen	nt
O Very goo	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or
Other:	
Q.4 Effective	eness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellen	nt .
Very god	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or
Other:	
Q.5 Effective	eness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellen	nt
O Very goo	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Good Poor Very poor Other:
PoorVery poorOther:
Very poor Other:
Other:
O 10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions
O 10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions
Q. 10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email yasobant@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS Other:

 Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect. Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect. Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Kusmita Nayak,PTGFBiranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Survakant Dash.PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	er covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Very good Good	
_	
⊙ Good	
Good Poor	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Cas Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good Poor Very poor Other: 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: D.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn?	Poor Very poor Other: 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor Other: 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Other: Other: Other Other Other Other	7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: S Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	7 Pace on which contents were covered ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Yery good	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Case Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good Poor Very poor Other: Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Poor Very poor Other: Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Very poor Other: Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other: B Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ? Excellent Very good	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent Very good	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Very good	
GoodPoorVery poorOther:		
Other:	Good	
Other:		
Other:	Poor	
Other:	Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
○ Excellent
○ Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
C Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email nayaksagarnd@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
0	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3DD SEM/2023-24\

CIVIL ENGG.
Email
diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com
Semester
Branch
Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has	the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
C Exce	ellent
O Very	good
⊙ Goo	d
O Poor	r
O Very	poor
Othe	er:
Q.4 Effe	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
C Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
● Goo	d
O Poor	r
O Very	poor
Othe	er:
Q.5 Effe	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
C Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
● Goo	d
O Poor	r
O Very	poor
Othe	er:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: C. 9 Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 9 Good Other: C. 10 Good Other: C. 11 Good Other: C. 12 Good Other: C. 13 Good Other: C. 14 Good Other: C. 15 Good Other: C. 15 Good Other: C. 16 Good Other: C. 17 Good Other: C. 18 Good Ot		s of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn?	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: Other	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q. 7 Pace on wh	ich contents were covered ?
● Good Poor Very poor Other: ■ Excellent Very good ● Good Poor Very poor Other:	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor		
Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q.8 Motivation a	nd inspiration for students to learn ?
Other:		nd inspiration for students to learn ?
Other:	Excellent	nd inspiration for students to learn ?
Other:	Excellent Very good	nd inspiration for students to learn ?
Other:	Excellent Very good Good	nd inspiration for students to learn ?
	Excellent Very good Good Poor	nd inspiration for students to learn ?
	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	

Q.9 Sup	oport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
○ Exc	cellent
O Ver	y good
⊚ God	bo
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
Q.10 W	as the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
○ Exc	cellent
O Ver	y good
⊚ God	bo
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
Q.11 Fe	eedback provided on students Progress.
○ Exc	zellent
O Ver	y good
⊚ God	bo
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
C Excellent	
O Very good	
⊙ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
● EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Other:	
Civil feedback	
TEACHING & LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Tead	cher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which conto	ents were covered ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspir	ation for students to learn ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.	12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•) Excellent
0	Very good
) Good
0) Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM GEO TECH BMCT C EC-I EVS

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	Excellent
• '	/ery good
0	Good
0	Poor
0 '	/ery poor
0	Other:
Q.4 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
O 1	Excellent
• '	/ery good
0	Good
	Poor
0 '	/ery poor
	/ery poor Other:
0	
Q.5 E	Other:
Q.5 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q.5 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q.5 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good
Q.5 E	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

C EC-I

() EVS

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Good Poor Very poor
O Others
Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email sasmalomkar7@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM● GEO TECH○ BMCT○ EC-I○ EVS
Other:

Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect. Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect. Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narsyan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Name of the faculty
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Poor	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
 Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor 	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Excellent Overy good Good Poor	Other:
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Excellent Overy good Good Poor	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Poor Poor	Civil feedback
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor	TEACHING & LEARNING
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor 	Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor	○ Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? Excellent Very good Good Poor	Very good
 Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor 	Good
Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor	O Poor
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor	O Very poor
ExcellentVery goodGoodPoor	Other:
ExcellentVery goodGoodPoor	
Very goodGoodPoor	Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
○ Good○ Poor	C Excellent
O Poor	Very good
	Good
O Very poor	O Poor
	O Very poor
Other:	Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Ha	s the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
O Exc	cellent
Ver	ry good
O Go	od
O Po	or
O Ve	ry poor
Oth	ner:
Q.4 Eff	ectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
O Exc	cellent
O Ver	ry good
Go	od
O Po	or
O Ve	ry poor
Oth	ner:
Q.5 Eff	ectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?
Exc	cellent
O Ve	ry good
O Go	od
O Po	or
O Ve	ry poor
Oth	ner:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
o	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email Good
Semester 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
C Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Su	pport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exc	cellent
O Ve	ry good
O Go	ood .
O Po	or
O Ve	ry poor
Oth	her:
Q.10 W	Vas the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exc	cellent
O Ve	ry good
O Go	ood .
O Po	or
O Ve	ry poor
Oth	her:
Q.11 F	eedback provided on students Progress.
O Exc	cellent
O Ve	ry good
Go	ood
O Po	or
O Ve	ry poor
Otl	her:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: G. Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Other: G. Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Good Poor Very good Other: Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Q.3 Has the Teache	er covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Odd Poor Very good	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: Excellent Very good Occording to the terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.4 Effectiveness o	f Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Good	
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	Q.5 Effectiveness o	f Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
GoodPoorVery poor	Excellent	
O Poor O Very poor	O Very good	
O Very poor	Good	
	Poor	
Othor:	O Very poor	
O other:	Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Te	cher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q. 7 Pace on which co	ents were covered ?	
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.8 Motivation and ins	ation for students to learn ?	
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email sipun1570@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on Students Progress. Output Description D	 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Very good Good Very good Good Very good Good Very goor	Good Poor Very poor Other:
Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	PoorVery poorOther:
Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor Other:
Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Call Feedback provided on students Progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Others Othe	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Others Othe	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: ■ Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor 	Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent
 Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	O Very good
 Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Good
Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress. © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
○ Good○ Poor○ Very poor	Excellent
O Poor Very poor	O Very good
O OH	Good
	O Poor
Other:	O Very poor
	Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email nayaksagarnd@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT ⑥ EC-I (EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.		
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.		
Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Very good	
Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Good	
Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Poor	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Q.10 Was the teacher	encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Excellent	
O Poor Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	O Very good	
Other: Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Good	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	Poor	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	O Very poor	
	Other:	
Several and the several and th	Q.11 Feedback provid	ed on students Progress.
Excellent	~ = " .	
Very good	Excellent	
Good		
O Poor	Very good	
O Very poor	Very good Good	
Other:	Very good Good Poor	
	Very good Good Poor Very poor	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email naikshibani005@gmail.com
Semester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● SM
GEO TECH BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

_	Excellent
O v	Very good
O (Good
O F	Poor
O v	Very poor
0	Other:
Q. 7 I	Pace on which contents were covered ?
E	Excellent
O v	Very good
O 6	Good
O F	Poor
O v	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.8 N	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
<u> </u>	Excellent
E	Very good
O v	Good
0 v	Good Poor

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

2.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email yasobant@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** SM GEO TECH BMCT C EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor
Good Poor Very poor
O Poor O Very poor
O Very poor
Other:
O other.
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
EVS
Other:

Nan	ne of the faculty
0	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
0	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
•	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
0	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
0	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
0	Other:
Civil	feedback
TEAC	HING & LEARNING
Q1.	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q 2	Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
•	Excellent
	Very good
\cup	
0	Good
0 0 0	Good Poor
0 0 0	
0 0 0 0	Poor

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com
Semester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
● GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

0	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
0	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
0	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
0	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
•	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
0	Other:
Civil	feedback
TEAC	HING & LEARNING
Q1.	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
•	Excellent
	Very good
0	
0	Very good
0 0	Very good Good
0 0 0	Very good Good Poor
0 0 0	Very good Good Poor Very poor
0 0 0 0	Very good Good Poor Very poor
O O O O Q 2.	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Q 2.	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Q 2.	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent
Q 2.	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good
Q 2.	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?		
()	Excellent	
	Very good	
0	Good	
\circ	Poor	
	Very poor	
\bigcirc	Other:	
Q. 7	Pace on which contents were covered ?	
()	Excellent	
	Very good	
\circ	Good	
\circ	Poor	
	Very poor	
\circ	Other:	
Q.8 l	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
\circ	Excellent	
•	Very good	
\circ	Good	
0	Poor	
	Very poor	
\bigcirc	Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Contract the second of the sec
Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com
Semester
3rd sem
Branch
Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
● EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topic	cs beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent			
Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Te	echnical content/Course content ?		
Excellent			
Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Co	Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent			
Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
C Excellent	
O Very good	
⊚ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email binoybehera90@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? 		
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: O.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Odd Poor Very poor	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good	
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q.4 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q.5 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Good Poor Very poor		
Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Other:		
Other:	Very good	
Other:	Very good Good	
	Very good Good Poor	
	Very good Good	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Suppo	ort for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excelle	ent
O Very g	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	
Q.10 Was	the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excelle	ent
O Very g	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	
Q.11 Feed	lback provided on students Progress.
Excelle	ent
O Very g	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
0	Excellent
•	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email V good
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered re	levant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in t	terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in t	terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
⊙ Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other:	Q.6 Effectiveness of Teach	ner in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Very good Good Very good	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor	Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Case Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Case Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
 Very good ● Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good ● Good Poor Very poor 	Q. 7 Pace on which conter	nts were covered ?
 Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation and inspira	tion for students to learn ?
GoodPoorVery poor	Excellent	
O Poor Very poor	O Very good	
O very poor	Good	
	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
○ Excellent
○ Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
○ Excellent
○ Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
C Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Pract	tical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and respo	nded to questions.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com
Semester
Branch
Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
О вмст
● EC-I
O EVS
Other:

	ne of the faculty
0	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
•	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
0	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
0	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
0	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
0	Other:
Civi	l feedback
TEAC	CHING & LEARNING
Q1.	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
o	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
0.2	. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
•	Excellent
_	Very good
0	
0	Good
0 0 0	Good Poor
0 0 0	Good
0 0 0 0	Good Poor

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.3 Has the Teach	ner covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Quiter Quiter Other:	Good	
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q.4 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Good	
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Poor	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.5 Effectiveness	of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
○ Good○ Poor○ Very poor○ Other:	Excellent	
O Poor Other:	Very good	
Other:	Good	
Other:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: O. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Other: O.8 Moltvation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: Other: O.9 Excellent Very good Other:	Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?			
Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Cood Poor Very good Cood Poor	E)	xcellent		
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	O Ve	ery good		
Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Very poor Very poor	O G	ood		
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor	O Po	oor		
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ve	ery poor		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	O 01	ther:		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 				
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: G.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q. 7 P	Pace on which contents were covered ?		
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Ex	xcellent		
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ve	ery good		
 Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	O G	ood		
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Po	oor		
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ve	ery poor		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	O 01	ther:		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 				
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	Q.8 M	lotivation and inspiration for students to learn ?		
Good Poor Very poor	Ex	xcellent		
O Poor Very poor	O Ve	ery good		
O Primary Control of the Control of	O G	ood		
	O Po	oor		
Other:	O Ve	ery poor		
	O 01	ther:		

Q.9 Su	upport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exc	cellent
O Ve	ery good
○ Go	pod
O Po	por
O Ve	ery poor
Oth	her:
Q.10 W	Vas the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exc	cellent
O Ve	ery good
○ Go	pod Pod
O Po	por
O Ve	ery poor
Oth	her:
Q.11 F	eedback provided on students Progress.
Exc	cellent
O Ve	ery good
O Go	ood
O Po	oor
O Ve	ery poor
Oth	her:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email sasmalomkar7@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email asishb057@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: Q. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Very poor Other: Other: Other:	Excellent		
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	_		
Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good		
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor		
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	Very poor		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Other:		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 			
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q. 7 Pace on which content	s were covered ?	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent		
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good		
Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good		
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor		
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 			
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation and inspirati	on for students to learn ?	
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent		
Poor Very poor Other:	Very good		
Other:	Good		
Other:	Poor		
Other:	Very poor		
	Other:		

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands or	า training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
C Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email satyanandamishra39@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
● EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

ExcelleVery goGoodPoorVery po	
Good Poor	ood
Poor	
O Very po	
O . o., po	oor
Other:	
Q.4 Effective	veness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excelle	ent
O Very go	ood
Good	
Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiv	veness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excelle	ent
Very go	ood
Good	
Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.				
•	Excellent				
\circ	Very good				
\circ	Good				
0	Poor				
0	Very poor				
0	Other:				

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM

GEO TECH

BMCT

O EC-I

O EVS

Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has	the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excel	llent
Very	good
Good	
O Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	r:
Q.4 Effec	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Exce	llent
O Very	good
Good	
O Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	
Q.5 Effec	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excel	llent
O Very	good
⊙ Good	l .
O Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	

Q.6 Effecti	iveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excelle	ent
Very g	ood
Good	
Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace	on which contents were covered ?
Excelled	ent
Very get	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	
Q.8 Motiva	ation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excelle	ent
Very g	ood
Good	
Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email biswajitdash36747@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Good Poor Very poor Other:
PoorVery poorOther:
Very poor Other:
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email nayaksagarnd@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course co	ntent ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on whic	ch contents were covered ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation an	d inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Orange Poor Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Other: Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
O other.	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Email barik.ritesh123@gmail.com Semester a 3rd sem Branch civil Engg. SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS	STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS 	
© Civil Engg. SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS	
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS 	
GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS	SUBJECT
() Other:	GEO TECH BMCT EC-I

Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect. Madhusmita SahooLect. Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Nan	ne of the faculty
Kusmita Nayak PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra, PTGF Suryakant Dash, PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Other:	0	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
 Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor 	0	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	\circ	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	•	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	\circ	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	\circ	Other:
TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Yery good Odd Poor Very good Good Very good Good Very good Good Poor	Civil	feedback
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	TEAC	HING & LEARNING
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor 	Q1.	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Excellent
 Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	•	Very good
 Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	0	Good
Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Poor
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	0	Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	0	Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	Q 2.	Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
○ Good○ Poor○ Very poor	0	Excellent
O Poor Very poor	()	Very good
O Very poor	0	Good
	0	Poor
Other:	0	Very poor
	0	Other:

Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiv	veness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Exceller	nt
Very go	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace o	on which contents were covered ?
Exceller	nt
Very go	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	
Q.8 Motivat	tion and inspiration for students to learn ?
Exceller	nt
Very go	ood
Good	
O Poor	
O Very po	oor
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email yasobant@gmail.com
Semester a 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching	aids?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email sipun1570@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
○ Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
C Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM ○ GEO TECH
BMCTEC-I€VS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? © Excellent
Very good
○ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 I	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q. 7	Pace on which contents were covered ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.8 I	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email sasmalomkar7@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

O Ex	ccellent
● Ve	ery good
) Go	ood
O Po	oor
O Ve	ery poor
Ot	ther:
Q.4 Ef	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
(E)	ccellent
O Ve	ery good
O G	ood
O Po	oor
O Ve	ery poor
O1	ther:
O1	ther:
	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q.5 Ef	
Q.5 Ef	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q.5 Ef	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q.5 Ef	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? ccellent ery good
Q.5 Ef Ex Ve	ffectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? ccellent ery good

Q.6 Effective	eness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	t t
Very goo	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace or	n which contents were covered ?
Excellent	t
Very goo	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation	on and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent	t
Very goo	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	

Q.9 S	Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
O E	Excellent
\	Very good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 /	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
O E	Excellent
\	Very good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 /	Very poor
0	Other:
Q.11	Feedback provided on students Progress.
O E	Excellent
\	Very good
0	Good
O F	Poor
0 /	Very poor
	Other:
0	

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
0	Excellent
O	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email barik.ritesh123@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I
Other:

Name of the faculty				
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.				
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.				
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF				
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF				
Suryakant Dash,PTGF				
Other:				
Civil feedback				
TEACHING & LEARNING				
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?				
Excellent				
Very good				
Good				
Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?				
Excellent				
Very good				
Good				
Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
OPoor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
■ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
C Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?	
○ Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
OPoor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
● Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Sup	oport for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Exc	cellent
O Ver	ry good
God	od
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	ner:
Q.10 W	as the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Exc	cellent
O Ver	ry good
God	od
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	ner:
Q.11 Fe	eedback provided on students Progress.
O Exc	cellent
Ver	ry good
O Goo	od
O Poo	or
O Ver	ry poor
Oth	ner:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
C Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has t	the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excell	lent
Very g	good
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	poor
Other:	
Q.4 Effect	tiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excell	lent
O Very g	good
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	poor
Other:	
Q.5 Effect	tiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excell	lent
Very g	good
Good	
O Poor	
O Very p	poor
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the tead	cher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback pr	ovided on students Progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
_	
Poor	
Poor Very poor	
Very poor	
Very poor	
Very poor	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email sipun1570@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I () EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Cother: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.0 1 IG	s the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Officer Other: Other: Other: Other	Ехс	cellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	O Ver	ry good
Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Officer Officer Other:	Go-	od
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	O Poo	or
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	O Ver	ry poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Oth	ner:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: G.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q.4 Eff	ectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Exc	cellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	ry good
 Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Go-	od
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	or
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	ry poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Oth	ner:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.5 Effe	ectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Good Poor Very poor Other:	Ехс	cellent
Poor Very poor Other:	O Vei	ry good
Other:	O Go	od
Other:	000	
Other:	_	or
	Poo	
	O Poo	ry poor

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email V good
Semester
Branch
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
EVS
Other:

Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect. Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect. Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University! College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Name	e of the faculty
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	O s	nigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF Suryakant Dash,PTGF Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING O1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O N	fladhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Other: Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	K	usmita Nayak,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Ов	iranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Civil feedback TEACHING & LEARNING O1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Cyery good Good Poor Very good Good Very poor	O s	uryakant Dash,PTGF
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Very good Good Poor	0 0	ther:
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Very good Good Poor		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Civil fe	eedback
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	TEACH	ING & LEARNING
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q1. H	as the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	E	xcellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O v	ery good
 Very poor Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	O G	sood
Other: Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O P	oor
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O v	ery poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	0 0	ther:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	Q 2. V	Vas the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Good Poor Very poor	E	xcellent
O Poor O Very poor	O v	ery good
O Very poor	O G	food
	O P	Poor
Other:	O v	ery poor
	0 0	ther:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

BMCT

O EC-I

EVS

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email satyanandamishra39@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem **Branch** Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM GEO TECH

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has	the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d d
O Poo	r
O Very	/ poor
Othe	er:
Q.4 Effe	ectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d
O Poo	r
O Very	/ poor
Othe	er:
Q.5 Effe	ctiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
Goo	d d
O Poo	r
O Very	y poor
Othe	er:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
O BMCT
● EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo, Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
C Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email barik.ritesh123@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the	Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent	t
Very goo	od
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poo	or and the state of the state o
Other:	
Q.4 Effective	eness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	t
Very goo	od .
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or and the state of the state o
Other:	
Q.5 Effective	eness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	t
Very goo	bd
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poo	or and the state of the state o
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Molivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: C. 8 Molivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q.6 Effectiven	ess of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Officer Other: Other: Other: Other Other Other	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Cypy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q. 7 Pace on v	which contents were covered ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Calculate the second of the second	Very good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation	and inspiration for students to learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other:	Very good	
Other:	Good	
Other:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
C Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email sipun1570@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT € EC-I EVS Other:

	me of the faculty
0	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
•	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
0	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
0	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
0	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
0	Other:
Civi	l feedback
TEAC	CHING & LEARNING
Q1.	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
	Poor
0	1 001
0	Very poor
0	
0	Very poor Other:
	Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Q2	Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? Excellent
	Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good
	Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good
	Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good Poor
	Very poor Other: . Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class? Excellent Very good Good

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem **Branch** Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** () SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
○ Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Ca.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills?	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other:	Excellent	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor		
Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Other: Other:	Very poor Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:		
Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Officer: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Other: Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Office: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: Other:	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q.4 Effectiveness of	Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	 Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Poor Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	 Very poor Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Good	
Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Other: Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Poor	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 		
Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good Poor Very poor Other:		
Poor Very poor Other:	Poor Very poor Other:	Q.5 Effectiveness of ⁻	
Other:	Other:	Q.5 Effectiveness of ¹ • Excellent	
Other:	Other:	Q.5 Effectiveness of Tourish Excellent Very good	
Other:	Other:	Q.5 Effectiveness of Tolerand	
		Q.5 Effectiveness of The second of The seco	
		Q.5 Effectiveness of Tools Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
		Q.5 Effectiveness of Tools Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
		Q.5 Effectiveness of Tools Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in to	erms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents wer	re covered ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for	students to learn ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
● EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
C Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

(EVS

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem **Branch** Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM GEO TECH BMCT ⑥ EC-I

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
C Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email naikshibani005@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● SM
○ GEO TECH
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Nan	ne of the faculty
•	Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
0	Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
0	Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
0	Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
0	Suryakant Dash,PTGF
0	Other:
Civi	feedback
TEAC	HING & LEARNING
Q1.	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q 2	. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
■ Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
\circ	Very poor
0	Other:

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG.** Email naikshibani005@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT**) SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS

Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
○ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher	in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher	in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email naikshibani005@gmail.com
Semester 3rd sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.1	2 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
\circ	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG. Email** gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem **Branch** Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM GEO TECH BMCT O EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
● Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?				
○ Excellent				
Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 0. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q.6 Effectiveness of Tea	acher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Very good	
Other: Other: C. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C. 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Very good Cood Very good Good Very good Good Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor Other: 	Q. 7 Pace on which con	tents were covered ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good	
Other: Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q.8 Motivation and insp	iration for students to learn ?
GoodPoorVery poorOther:	Excellent	
O Poor Other:	Very good	
Other:	Good	
Other:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

	the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the te	eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback	provided on students Progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) CIVIL ENGG.
Email gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com
Semester ③ 3rd sem
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) **CIVIL ENGG. Email** gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com Semester 3rd sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** O SM GEO TECH BMCT ⑥ EC-I O EVS

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Other:
Civil feedback
TEACHING & LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.3 Has	the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
O Exc	ellent
Very	y good
Goo	od
O Poo	ır
O Very	y poor
Oth	er:
Q.4 Effe	ectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
○ Exc	ellent
O Very	y good
● Goo	od .
O Poo	r
O Very	y poor
Oth	er:
Q.5 Effe	ectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exc	ellent
O Very	y good
Goo	od .
O Poo	r
O Very	y poor
Oth	er:

	of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on which contents were cov	rered?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for stud	ents to learn ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
C Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com
Semester
Branch
SUBJECT
 SM GEO TECH BMCT EC-I EVS Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Other:	
Civil feedback	
TEACHING & LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ? © Excellent	
O Very good	
○ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24) civil engg.
Email subhankarsahoosubhankar315@gmail.com
Semester
3rd sem
Branch
Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SM
○ BMCT
○ EC-I
O EVS
Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Other:		
Civil feedback		
TEACHING & LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
■ Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
● Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.6 Effectivenes	ss of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q. 7 Pace on wl	hich contents were covered ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q.8 Motivation a	and inspiration for students to learn ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.
Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email sagarbarik866@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email sagarbarik866@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C600dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Other: C900dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Other: C900dQ11.Feedback provided on Students progress. Other: Other: Other:	Q9.Sup	port for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Exc	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: Canonic Street the eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: CoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	y good
 Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Goo	d d
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Poo	r
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Ver	y poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Oth	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor Out	Q10.Wa	s the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Exc	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	y good
 ✓ Very poor ✓ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ⑥ Excellent ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor 	Goo	d
Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	r
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	/ poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Oth	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ ²	1.Feedback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Exc	ellent
O Poor O Very poor	O Ver	y good
O Oll	Goo	d
	O Poo	r
Other:	O Ver	/ poor
	Oth	er:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: G10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Phoor Other: Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Overy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good	
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: CoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good	
 Very poor Other:	Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good	
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: CoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good	Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	ExcellentVery goodGood	
 ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor 	○ Very good ○ Good	
Good Poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
 Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Poor	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
O Poor O College	○ Very good	
O Other	Good	
	O Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhrajithati2002@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
○ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher	encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback p	rovided on students progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhrajithati2002@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Other: CoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Other: Very good Other: Very good Other: Other:	Q9.Su _l	oport for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Ex	cellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Ve	ry good
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	○ Go	ood .
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Po	or
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ve	ery poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Ot	her:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q10.W	as the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Ex	cellent
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ve	ry good
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ⑥ Excellent ○ Very good ○ Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	O Go	ood .
Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Po	or
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ve	ry poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Ot	her:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodC	011.Feedback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Ex	cellent
O Poor O Very poor	O Ve	ry good
O Other	O Go	ood
	O Po	oor
Other:	O Ve	ry poor
	Ot	her:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhrajithati2002@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Exce	rellent
	y good
Goo	
O Poo	
	y poor
Othe	
Q4.Effec	ctiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Exce	rellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	bd
O Poo	or .
O Very	y poor
Othe	er:
Q5.Effec	ctiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exce	rellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	od .
O Poo	or .
O Very	y poor
Othe	er:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	Q9.Support for de	evelopment of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Poor Very poor Other: Classification of the searcher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: CoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	 Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Good	
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor 	 ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor Out	Q10.Was the tead	cher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor 	 ○ Very poor ○ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ⑥ Excellent ○ Very good ○ Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	Good	
Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor	GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor 	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
Very goodGoodPoor	 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ11.Feedba	ack provided on students progress.
Good Poor	Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
O Poor	O Poor O Very poor	Very good	
	O Oll	Good	
O Very poor		Poor	
	Other:	O Very poor	
Other:		Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhrajithati2002@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. ■ WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
○ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teache	er encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback	provided on students progress.
GoodQ11.Feedback Excellent	provided on students progress.
	provided on students progress.
Excellent	provided on students progress.
ExcellentVery good	provided on students progress.
ExcellentVery goodGood	provided on students progress.
ExcellentVery goodGoodPoor	provided on students progress.

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhrajithati2002@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has	the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Exc	rellent
O Ver	y good
God	bo
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
Q4.Effe	ctiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Exc	cellent
O Ver	y good
God	bo
O Poo	
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
Q5.Effe	ctiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exc	cellent
O Ver	y good
God	bo
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C300dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: C400dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Other: C500dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Other: Other: Other:	Q9.Sup	port for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Exc	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	y good
 Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Goo	d
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Poo	r
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Ver	y poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Oth	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q10.Wa	s the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Exc	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	y good
 ✓ Very poor ✓ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ⑥ Excellent ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor 	Goo	d
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	r
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Ver	y poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Oth	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ ²	1.Feedback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Exc	ellent
Poor Very poor	O Ver	y good
O Oll	Goo	d
	O Poo	r
Other:	O Ver	y poor
	Oth	er:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	
GoodPoorVery poor	
Other:	
Other:	
Other:	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?	
Excellent	
Very goodGood	
● Good○ Poor	
○ Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SD-IIR&B ENGG.WS&WWEEC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Q9. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q6.Effectiveness of te	acher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Other: Q9. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn?	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: O7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: O8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Very good	
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: 	Q7.Pace on which cor	itents were covered ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good	
Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q8.Motivation and ins	piration for students o learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
O Poor O Others	O Very good	
○ Very poor	Good	
O OAL - W	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingne	ess to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent	
O Very good	d
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 civil engg.
Email paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
O EC-II
O Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email Subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
O EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teache	er encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback	provided on students progress.
GoodQ11.Feedback Excellent	provided on students progress.
	provided on students progress.
Excellent	provided on students progress.
Excellent Very good	provided on students progress.
ExcellentVery goodGood	provided on students progress.
ExcellentVery goodGoodPoor	provided on students progress.

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of	teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which o	ontents were covered ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and in	nspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
\circ	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jenaajay605@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has t	he teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Exce	llent
O Very	good
Good	I
Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	
Q4.Effect	tiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Exce	llent
O Very	good
Good	I
O Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	
Q5.Effect	tiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exce	llent
O Very	good
Good	I
Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	r:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor
O Poor O Very poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

ExcellentVery good
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
\circ	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com
Smester
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher	er in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which content	s were covered ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspirat	on for students o learn ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q9.Sup	port for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10. Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor	Exc	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor	O Very	y good
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Goo	d d
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Poo	r
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	<i>y</i> poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Oth	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor	Q10.Wa	s the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Exc	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	y good
 Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Goo	d
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	r
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	<i>r</i> poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Oth	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	GoodQ1	1.Feedback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Exc	ellent
O Poor O Very poor	O Very	y good
O Very poor	Goo	d
	O Poo	r
	O Very	<i>r</i> poor
Other:	Oth	er:

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bapuanshuman@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Email jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com Smester Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:	STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
 Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SP-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
 ● Civil Engg. SUBJECT ● EM&ST ● SD-II ● R&B ENGG. ● WS&WWE ● EC-II 	
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
 SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	SUBJECT
	 SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effec	Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Exce	ellent	
O Very	y good	
Goo	od	
O Poo	r	
O Very	y poor	
Othe	er:	
Q7.Pace	e on which contents were covered ?	
Exce	ellent	
O Very	y good	
O Goo	od	
O Poo	r	
O Very	y poor	
Othe	er:	
Q8.Moti	vation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Exce	ellent	
O Very	y good	
Goo	od	
O Poo	r	
O Very	y poor	
Othe	er:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jenaajay605@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST
 SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Good Poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: □ Excellent ○ Very good ○ Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other:
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Very good Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor Other:
O Other:
Other:
Other:
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
\circ	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teache	er encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback	provided on students progress.
Excellent	
ExcellentVery good	
Very good	
Very good Good	

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com
Smester
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 ○ EM&ST ○ SD-II ○ R&B ENGG. ○ WS&WWE ○ EC-II ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Other: Other: Good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q9.Supp	port for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excel	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	y good
 Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: 	O Goo	od .
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	or .
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	y poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Othe	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: 	Q10.Wa	as the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excel	ellent
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	y good
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ○ Excellent ○ Very good ○ Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	Goo	od .
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poo	or .
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent O Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very	y poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Othe	er:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ1	11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Excel	ellent
O Poor Other:	O Very	y good
Other:	Goo	od .
Other:	O Poo	or .
Other:	O Very	y poor
	Othe	er:

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
LACCHEIN	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which co	ontents were covered ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
	spiration for students o learn ?
Q8.Motivation and in	
Q8.Motivation and in	
Excellent	
ExcellentVery good	
ExcellentVery goodGood	
ExcellentVery goodGoodPoor	
Excellent	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bapuanshuman@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent 	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered?	
O Poor O Very poor Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered?	
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Little in the control of the contr	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Good Poor Very pood Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on Students progress.
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor Out
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Very good Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor
O Poor Very poor
○ Very poor
Other
Other:

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of t	eacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of t	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on Students progress. Excellent Very good Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on Students progress. Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q9.Support fo	or development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	I
Other: Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: CoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Good	
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
 ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor Other:	Q10.Was the	teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	I
Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ11.Fee	edback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Other:	Very good	I
○ Very poor	Good	
Other:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the
Branch
SUBJECT
► EM&STSD-II■ R&B ENGG.
✓ WS&WWE✓ EC-II✓ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond	d syllabus ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher cor	ntent/course content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication	ation skills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Eff	ectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Ex	ccellent
O Ve	ery good
O Go	ood
O Po	oor
O Ve	ery poor
Ot	ther:
Q7.Pa	ce on which contents were covered ?
Ex	ccellent
O Ve	ery good
O Go	ood
O Po	oor
O Ve	ery poor
Ot	ther:
Q8.Mo	otivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Ex	ccellent
O Ve	ery good
○ Go	ood
O Po	por
O Ve	ery poor
Ot	ther:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
○ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Exc	rellent
O Ver	y good
God	pd Date of the Control of the Contro
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
Q10.Wa	as the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Exc	pellent
O Ver	y good
God	bd
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
GoodQ	11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Exc	rellent
O Ver	y good
God	bd
O Poo	Dr .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jenaajay605@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 ► EM&ST SD-II ● R&B ENGG. → WS&WWE → EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of tead	cher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which conte	ents were covered ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspir	ration for students o learn ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email architabehera161@gmail.com
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Other:	
TEACHING AND LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Email bapuanshuman@gmail.com Smester Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:	STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
 Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
 ● Civil Engg. SUBJECT ● EM&ST ○ SD-II ● R&B ENGG. ○ WS&WWE ○ EC-II 	
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II	SUBJECT
	SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Other:	
TEACHING AND LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the tea	acher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
○ Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teach	ner in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which conter	nts were covered ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspira	ation for students o learn ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II
R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course cont	ent ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
) Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Z.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
3.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: Other:	Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very good
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Good
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Poor
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good
Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent
O Poor Very poor	O Very good
Very poor	Good
	O Poor
Other:	O Very poor
	Other:

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jenaajay605@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
O SD-II
R&B ENGG.
O EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has	the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Exc	rellent
O Ver	y good
God	pd Date of the Control of the Contro
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
Q4.Effe	ctiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Exc	rellent
O Ver	y good
God	bo
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:
Q5.Effe	ctiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exc	rellent
O Ver	y good
God	pd bo
O Poo	or .
O Ver	y poor
Oth	er:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Email bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT
 ○ EM&ST ○ SD-II ○ R&B ENGG. ○ WS&WWE ○ EC-II ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness	of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
	of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
	of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q5.Effectiveness	of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q5.Effectiveness Excellent	of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q5.Effectiveness Excellent Very good	of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q5.Effectiveness Excellent Very good Good	of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q5.Effectiveness Excellent Very good Good Poor	of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email architabehera161@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
OPoor
Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
OPoor
Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
C Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Email jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com Smester Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:	STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
 Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
 Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II	SUBJECT
	SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllab	us?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/co	urse content ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication sk	ills ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
○ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email jenaajay605@gmail.com
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the to	eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feed	dback provided on students progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
OPoor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bapuanshuman@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Other:		
TEACHING AND LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?		
Ex	xcellent	
O Ve	ery good	
O G	ood	
O Po	oor	
O Ve	ery poor	
O 01	ther:	
Q10.V	Vas the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?	
Ex	xcellent	
O Ve	ery good	
O G	ood	
O Po	oor	
O Ve	ery poor	
O 01	ther:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Ex	xcellent	
O Ve	ery good	
O G	ood	
O Po	oor	
O Ve	ery poor	
O 01	ther:	

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Email bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com Smester Smester Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:	STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
 Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 	
 ● Civil Engg. SUBJECT ● EM&ST ○ SD-II ○ R&B ENGG. ○ WS&WWE ● EC-II 	
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE €C-II 	
SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II	SUBJECT
	SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Other:		
TEACHING AND LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email monalisasahoo 161@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
0	Excellent
()	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q4.	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q5.	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
o	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
0	Excellent
O	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email architabehera161@gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
● Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q9.Support for develop	ment of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher e	ncouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback pro	ovided on students progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
● Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bapuanshuman@gmail.com
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the sem is a second of the seco
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Very poor Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for	development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the te	eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feed	dback provided on students progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
OPoor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bijaykumar6076@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
O SD-II
R&B ENGG.
O WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.H	las the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q4.E	iffectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q5.E	iffectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
I	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: 	Q9.Support for deve	elopment of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very good	
 Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor 	Good	
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
 ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent ✓ Very good ✓ Good ✓ Poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor ✓ Very poor 	Q10.Was the teache	er encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ⑥ Excellent ○ Very good ○ Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	Good	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ11.Feedback	provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Others	O Very good	
Others	Good	
Oth are	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email sahooomprakash346@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email lopamudrajena75@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Other:		
TEACHING AND LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
()	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
0	Poor	
0	Very poor	
0	Other:	
Q4.E	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?	
()	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
0	Poor	
0	Very poor	
0	Other:	
Q5.E	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
()	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
0	Poor	
0	Very poor	
0	Other:	

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: CGoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Q9.Support for dev	velopment of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very good	
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? ● Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ● Excellent Very good Good Poor	Good	
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q10.Was the teach	ner encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good	
 ○ Very poor ○ Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. ② Excellent ○ Very good ○ Good ○ Poor ○ Very poor ○ Other: 	Good	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	GoodQ11.Feedbac	ck provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
O Poor O Other:	O Very good	
○ Very poor	Good	
Othor:	Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email monalisasahoo161@gmail.com
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II
R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
o	Excellent	
0	Very good	
\circ	Good	
\circ	Poor	
0	Very poor	
0	Other:	
Q4.I	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?	
()	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
0	Poor	
\bigcirc	Very poor	
0	Other:	
Q5.I	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
()	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
0	Poor	
\circ	Very poor	
0	Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q1	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email architabehera161@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
C Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
⊚ Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
0	Excellent
O	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q4.	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q5.	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
0	Excellent
()	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT
 ○ EM&ST ○ SD-II ○ R&B ENGG. ○ WS&WWE ○ EC-II ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Vary good	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged disc	ussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on stude	ents progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Provi	
Poor	
Very poor	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email architabehera161@gmail.com
Smester
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

212.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 civil engg.
Email manuajena2@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
O EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty		
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.		
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect		
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF		
Suryakant Dash,PTGF		
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF		
Other:		
TEACHING AND LEARNING		
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
● Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
0	Excellent
O	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q7.	Pace on which contents were covered ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
O	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q8.	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the sem is a second of the seco
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 ► EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
GoodPoorVery poorOther:
PoorVery poorOther:
Very poor Other:
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email lopamudrajena75@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?			
Excellent			
Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.			
C Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email sahooomprakash346@gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor
Good Poor
O Poor
O Versioner
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q12	Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.				
()	Excellent				
0	Very good				
0	Good				
0	Poor				
0	Very poor				
0	Other:				

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email Ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty			
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.			
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect			
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF			
Suryakant Dash,PTGF			
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF			
Other:			
TEACHING AND LEARNING			
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of t	eacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of t	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q5.Effectiveness of t Excellent	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent Very good	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent Very good Good	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent Very good Good Poor	eacher in terms of Communication skills ?

Q6.Effective	ness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od .
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q7.Pace on	which contents were covered ?
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q8.Motivatio	on and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od .
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	

	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q10	Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
	Very poor Other:
0	
Goo	Other:
Goo	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Gooo	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent
Good	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good
Good	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good
Good	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.	
Email monalisasahoo161@gmail.com	
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the sem is a second of the seco	
Branch	
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:	

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of tea	ching aids ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn	?
Excellent	
Very good	
⊚ Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email lopamudrajena75@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Email bijaykumar6076@gmail.com Smester Smester Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:	STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.		
 Sth sem Branch Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 			
 Civil Engg. SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 			
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II 			
SD-IIR&B ENGG.WS&WWEEC-II	SUBJECT		
	SD-IIR&B ENGG.WS&WWE		

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
⑥ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
○ Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
○ Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email suryanarayanp903@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
O EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the te	eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
CoodO11 Food	lhook provided en etudente progress
GoodQ 11.Feed	Iback provided on students progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email sahooomprakash346@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II
O Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
O1. Here the Tanahar severed entire cullabures preceibed by Injugraity/Callage/Pears 2
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Q2. VVas the reacher organised and propared for every class :
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Vancenad
○ Very good
○ Good
Good
○ Good ○ Poor
Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor

•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q7.I	Pace on which contents were covered ?
•	Excellent
	Very good
\bigcirc	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
~	
\bigcirc	Other:
O	Other:
Q8.I	Other: Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Q8.I	
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent Very good
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent Very good Good
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email Ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
● WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.I	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q4.I	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q5.I	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
\circ	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
○ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.	Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q10).Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
God	pdQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email lopamudrajena75@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has	s the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
○ Exc	cellent
O Ver	ry good
⊚ Go	od
O Poo	or
O Ver	ry poor
Oth	ner:
Q4.Effe	ectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Exc	cellent
O Ver	ry good
O Go	od
O Poo	or
O Vei	ry poor
Oth	ner:
Q5.Effe	ectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exc	cellent
O Vei	ry good
O Go	od
O Poo	or
O Vei	ry poor
Oth	ner:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?		
Excellen	t	
O Very goo	od bo	
Good		
Poor		
O Very poo	or and the same of	
Other:		
Q7.Pace on	which contents were covered ?	
Excellen	t	
O Very goo	od .	
Good		
OPoor		
O Very poo	or and the state of the state o	
Other:		
Q8.Motivatio	on and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellen	t	
O Very goo	pd b	
Good		
Poor		
O Very poo	or and the state of the state o	
Other:		

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email monalisasahoo161@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
● WS&WWE
O EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
C Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bijaykumar6076@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
O SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.	Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
0	Excellent
o	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q4.	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
0	Excellent
O	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q5.	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
•	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: G7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: G8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Very good
Very poor Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor	Good
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Very poor	Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
 Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Excellent
Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	○ Very good
 Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	● Good
Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	
Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent
O Poor Very poor	○ Very good
○ Very poor	● Good
	O Poor
Other:	O Very poor
	Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
○ Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email Ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com
Smester 5th sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ? © Excellent
Excellent
ExcellentVery good
ExcellentVery goodGood
ExcellentVery goodGoodPoor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for develo	opment of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher	encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback p	provided on students progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email sahooomprakash346@gmail.com
Smester The sem is a second of the sem is a second of the sem is a second of the seco
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
O SD-II
 R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

• Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Other: Other: Other: Other:	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Good	
Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Good Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Other:	
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: G.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q4.Effectiveness of tead	cher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ? © Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q5.Effectiveness of tead	cher in terms of Communication skills ?
Good Poor Very poor Other:	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other:	Very good	
Other:	Good	
Other:	Poor	
Other:	Very poor	
	Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email lopamudrajena75@gmail.com
Smester 5th sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bijaykumar6076@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
○ Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.	
Email subhamsekhardash73@gmail.com	
Smester	
Branch O Civil Engg.	
SUBJECT	
R&B ENGG.WS&WWEEC-IIOther:	

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
○ Very good
○ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Very good	
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Good	
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	O Poor	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other:	
Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	○ Very good	
Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Good	
Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Others	○ Very good	
Very poor	Good	
Other:	O Poor	
Other:	O Very poor	
	Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.	
Email sahooomprakash346@gmail.com	
Smester Sth sem	
Branch O Civil Engg.	
SUBJECT	
○ EM&ST	
○ SD-II	
R&B ENGG.	
○ WS&WWE	
Other:	

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
○ Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bijaykumar6076@gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
○ Very good○ Good
Good
○ Good ○ Poor
Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor
Good Poor Very poor

Q6.Effective	ness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od .
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	or .
Other:	
Q7.Pace on	which contents were covered ?
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od .
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	ur
Other:	
Q8.Motivatio	on and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent	t
O Very goo	od .
Good	
Poor	
O Very poo	ur
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email monalisasahoo161@gmail.com
Smester Smester The sem is a second
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
● EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
C Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email suryanarayanp903@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
○ Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q7.	Pace on which contents were covered ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
\cup	Other:
	Other:
Q8.	Other: Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent
Q8.	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent Very good
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent Very good Good
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG. Email beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com Smester 5th sem Branch Civil Engg. **SUBJECT** SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE C EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
C Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effecti	veness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excell	ent
Very g	good
Good	
Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	
Q7.Pace o	on which contents were covered ?
Excell	ent
O Very g	jood
Good	
Poor	
O Very p	oor
Other:	
Q8.Motiva	ation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excell	ent
Very g	looq
Good	
Poor	
O Very p	poor
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
■ Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email manuajena2@gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Other:	
TEACHING AND LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?	
Q1. Has the reacher covered entire synabusas prescibed by Offiversity/Conlege/Doard !	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?	
Q2. Was the reacher diganised and prepared for every class !	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other:
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:
Good Poor Very poor Other:
PoorVery poorOther:
Very poor Other:
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email subhamsekhardash73@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
○ Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q10	.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
\bigcirc	Very poor
\cup	very poor
0	Other:
0	
Goo	Other:
Goo	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Goo	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent
Goo	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good
Goo	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good
Goo	Other: dQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email bijaykumar6076@gmail.com
Smester
Branch
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
OPoor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 civil engg.
Email manuajena2@gmail.com
Smester
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
C EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 civil engg.
Email subhamsekhardash73@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
● EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has	the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d d
O Poor	r
O Very	y poor
Othe	er:
Q4.Effec	ctiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d d
O Poor	r
O Very	y poor
Othe	er:
Q5.Effec	ctiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Exce	ellent
O Very	y good
O Goo	d d
O Poor	r
O Very	/ poor
Othe	er:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

ExcellentVery goodGoodPoorVery poorOther:	
Good Poor Very poor	
Poor Very poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the to	eacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feed	dback provided on students progress.
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email suryanarayanp903@ Gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q7.	Pace on which contents were covered ?
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:
Q8.	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ? Excellent
	Excellent
	Excellent Very good
Q8.	Excellent Very good Good

ExcellentVery good	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12.	Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
• E	Excellent
0 /	Very good
\bigcirc	Good
O F	Poor
0 /	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email manuajena2@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Ha	as the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
E	Excellent
O v	/ery good
O G	Good
O P	Poor
O v	/ery poor
0 0	Other:
Q4.E1	ffectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
E	Excellent
O v	/ery good
O G	Good
O P	Poor
O v	/ery poor
0 0	Other:
Q5.Ef	ffectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
E	Excellent
O v	/ery good
O G	Good
O P	Poor
O v	/ery poor
0 0	Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email sahooomprakash346@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other: CE LAB

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Other:
Other.
Other.
Other.
Other.

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
○ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email suryanarayanp903@ Gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good Poor Very poor Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor	Excellent		
Poor Very poor Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Excellent Very good Other: Very good Very poor Other: Very good Food Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor Very poor Other: 24.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 25.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Other: Oth	Very good		
Very poor Other: Q4. Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Excellent Very good Very poor Other: Very good Odd Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	Very poor Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good		
Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other: Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Other: Other: Other Other Other	Poor		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Other: Very good Other: Very good Odd Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor	Very poor		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: 	Other:		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	04.Effectiveness of teacher	terms of teacher content/course content ?	
Good Poor Very poor Other: G. Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent		
Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good		
Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good		
Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other: Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor		
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:		
Very goodGoodPoorVery poor	 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	05.Effectiveness of teacher i	terms of Communication skills ?	
Good Poor Very poor	Good Poor Very poor Other:	Excellent		
O Poor O Very poor	Other:	Very good		
O Very poor	Other:	Good		
	Other:	Poor		
Other:	Other:	Very poor		
O other.		Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email manuajena2@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
O	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email suryanarayanp903@ Gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
● EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has tl	the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excel	llent
O Very	good
Good	d .
Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	r:
Q4.Effect	tiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excel	llent
Very	good
Good	d
O Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	r:
Q5.Effect	tiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excel	llent
O Very	good
Good	
Poor	
O Very	poor
Other	r:

 Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	Good Poor Very poor Other: 7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 2. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Cypy good Good Poor Other: Cypy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Cyper good Other: Cyper good Other: Cyper good Other: Cyper good Other Other Other Other	Poor Very poor Other: Q7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Other: Cyper good Other: Cyper good Other: Cyper good Other: Cyper good Other Other Other Other	Very good	
Other: Other:	Very poor Other: O7. Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: C8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other:	Other: Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Other: Cypy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Poor	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Other: Overy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Q7.Pace on which contents were covered? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Overy good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: 	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Other: 		
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Q7.Pace on which co	ontents were covered ?
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very good	
Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Very poor Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Good	
Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Other: Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Poor	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Very poor	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:	
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 		
 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	 Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Q8.Motivation and in	spiration for students o learn ?
Good Poor Very poor	Good Poor Very poor	Excellent	
Poor Very poor Other:	Other:	Very good	
Other:	Other:	Good	
Other:	Other:	Poor	
Other:	Other:	Very poor	
		Other:	

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email Subhasmitamohanty990@gmail.co
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG.
WS&WWEEC-IIOther:

Name of the faculty			
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.			
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect			
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF			
Suryakant Dash,PTGF			
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF			
Other:			
TEACHING AND LEARNING			
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
● Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?			
Excellent			
Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?				
Excellent				
○ Very good				
⑥ Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
■ Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?				
○ Excellent				
○ Very good				
■ Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?				
0	Excellent			
O	Very good			
\circ	Good			
\circ	Poor			
\circ	Very poor			
\circ	Other:			
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?				
0	Excellent			
O	Very good			
0	Good			
0	Poor			
0	Very poor			
\circ	Other:			
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.				
\bigcirc	Excellent			
•	Very good			
0	Good			
0	Poor			
\bigcirc	Very poor			
0	Other:			

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email cjena471@gmail.com
Smester Smester 5th sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
C Excellent
O Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
○ Excellent
○ Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
● Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?				
Excellent				
○ Very good				
■ Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?				
Excellent				
O Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.				
Excellent				
○ Very good				
Good				
O Poor				
O Very poor				
Other:				

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
⊚ Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email tridevdas969@gmail.com
Smester
Branch Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
C Excellent
O Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?		
C Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	Q1
Excellent	0
Very good	0
Good	0
Poor	0
Very poor	•
Other:	0

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email tridevdas969@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.E	Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
()	Very poor
0	Other:
Q7.F	Pace on which contents were covered ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
•	Very poor
0	Other:
Q8.N	Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
0	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
•	Very poor
0	Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Excellent		
○ Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
Very poor		
Other:		

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email tridevdas969@gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
● EM&ST
○ SD-II
R&B ENGG.
○ WS&WWE
○ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for developme	nt of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher enco	ouraged discussions and responded to questions ?
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provid	ded on students progress.
Excellent	
Very good	
Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q1:	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email tridevdas969@gmail.com
Smester Smester Sth sem
Branch O Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
○ EM&ST○ SD-II○ R&B ENGG.
✓ WS&WWE✓ EC-II
Other:

Name of the faculty	
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.	
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect	
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF	
Suryakant Dash,PTGF	
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF	
Other:	
TEACHING AND LEARNING	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
O Very good
● Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?
Excellent
○ Very good
■ Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
○ Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
Excellent
○ Very good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email tridevdas969@gmail.com
Smester
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 ○ EM&ST ○ SD-II ○ R&B ENGG. ○ WS&WWE ○ EC-II ○ Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?
Excellent
Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email tridevdas969@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT
 EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:

Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?			
Excellent			
O Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?			
Excellent			
○ Very good			
Good			
O Poor			
O Very poor			
Other:			

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?		
Good Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good GoodOther: Cyen good Odd of Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	Excellent		
Poor Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very good		
Very poor Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Odd11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Other:	Good		
Other: Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor	O Poor		
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions? Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: Excellent Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good Good Poor Very good	O Very poor		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:	Other:		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor Other:			
Very good Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?		
Good Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	Excellent		
Poor Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	○ Very good		
 Very poor Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Good		
Other: GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Poor		
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress. Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor	O Very poor		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 	Other:		
 Excellent Very good Good Poor Very poor 			
Very good Good Poor Very poor	GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.		
Good Poor Very poor	Excellent		
Other:	○ Very good		
Other:	Good		
O*hor:	O Poor		
Other:	O Very poor		
	Other:		

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24 CIVIL ENGG.
Email asutoshpradhan969@gmail.com
Smester Sth sem
Branch © Civil Engg.
SUBJECT EM&ST SD-II R&B ENGG. WS&WWE EC-II Other:


Name of the faculty
Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
Suryakant Dash,PTGF
Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
Other:
TEACHING AND LEARNING
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabusas prescibed byUniversity/College/Board?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q2.Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:


Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?		
Excellent		
O Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
O Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		
Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?		
Excellent		
Very good		
Good		
Poor		
O Very poor		
Other:		

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids?
Excellent
O Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
O Poor
O Very poor
Other:
Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?
Excellent
○ Very good
Good
Poor
O Very poor
Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?	
Excellent	
O Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	
GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.	
Excellent	
○ Very good	
Good	
O Poor	
O Very poor	
Other:	

Q12	2.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.
()	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
0	Poor
0	Very poor
0	Other:





https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1uUz/wcDJUpRv9mjSdEXMVULPmnf0jxO2Nks0045oHAo/edit#responses

Excellent




Responses 117 Settings Questions

Q9. Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training?

Copy chart

97 responses




Excellent

Vary good

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions?

Copy chart

97 responses



Excellent

Very good

Good


Poor

Very poor

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

Copy chart

97 responses



Excellent

Very good

Good Good

Very poor

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

Copy chart

97 responses




Excellent

Very good


Good

Poor


Very poor





















This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. - <u>Contact form owner</u> - <u>Terms of Service</u> - <u>Privacy Policy</u>

Does this form look suspicious? <u>Report</u>


Google Forms


























This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. - <u>Contact form owner</u> - <u>Terms of Service</u> - <u>Privacy Policy</u>

Does this form look suspicious? <u>Report</u>

Google Forms





14, 15 Through and a common of the common of And Section of the Control of the Co Particular of the particu A the Amendes of the 1. The first control of the control sh Sender of the control of the cont 1 Part Control of Cont St. Of Tollege of Part | Part the second secon 1. Causant Projections of Control 14 de la Beard

de Carlos de Carlos de la Carlos de Carl Section of the control of the contro A shadow was a season of the control And the second of the second o A Parlament of the Control of the Co 1 better development of the control A THE CONTROL OF THE All processors of the control of the 4. Bill Liber (Liber)

Libery (Libery (Liber)

Libery (Libery (Libery)

Libery (Libery)

Lib water and the second of the se Trailing and a Traili 13. get Mary Guard

Wary Guard

Guard

Guard

Wary Guard

Wary Guard

Guard

Wary with proposed and 3. Aborators

Section 1. Control of the Control of the Control of Consideration of the control of the \$ 1,0 kBm;

Whey Stood Good

Good Good

Good

Good Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good 4.60 pt control of the control of th 1 Start Star Consideration of Consid A Contract of the Contract of 1. But day of the control of the con

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE (GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC, PURI)

At-Moto Po-Chapamanik via-Bramhagiri Dist-Puri-752011Ph.:06752-235982 E-mail id:gppurimath&sc@gmail.com, Website:www.gppuri.in

Faculties of Math and Science departments are suggested to take following actions to improve their teaching & learning based on the feedback received from students of Civil, Electrical and Mechanical branch for the Session 2023-24.

SI. No	Name of Faculty	Discipline	Action to be taken
1	Mr. Parmananda Dash, Sr. Lect , in CA	Computer Application	Focus on practicing of programmes in lab. Periods by each student.
2	Mrs. Prajna . P. Rout, Lect . in Phys.	Engg. Physics	Use of more teaching aids and models in classes for better understanding of students.
3	Mrs. Anuja Khatua, Lect. In Eng.	Communicative English	Focus on improvement of speaking skills of students.
4	Ms. Sumitra Pradhan Lect. In Maths.	Engg. Maths	Practice of previous year question papers for student
5	Ms. P. Priyadarshinee PTGF in Chemistry	Engg. Chemistry	Extra classes for students for organic chemistry needs to taken.



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE (GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC, PURI)

At-Moto Po-Chapamanik via-Bramhagiri Dist-Puri-752011Ph. 06752-235982 E-mail id gppurimath&sc@gmail.com, Website:www.gppuri.in

Following actions were taken by each faculty members of departments for improving their teaching based on the feedback received from students of Civil, Electrical and Mechanical branch for the Session 2023-24.

Sl. No	Name of Faculty	Discipline	Action taken	Signature of Faculty
1	Mr. Parmananda Dash, Sr. Lect in CA	Computer Application	Extra laboratory classes were taken for practice of program using computer.	halm
2.	Mrs. Prajna . P. Rout, Lect . in Phys.	Engg. Physics	Model were prepared for chapter on optics, Friction and electromagnetism for better understanding of students	Blont
3.	Mrs. Anuja Khatua, Lect. In Eng.	Communicative English	Extra classes were taken for improving speaking skills of students.	BA
4.	Ms. Sumitra Pradhan Lect, In Maths.	Engg. Maths	Previous year question papers were discussed in class and given as home assignment for practice.	pi)
5.	Ms. P. Priyadarshinee PTGF in Chemistry	Engg. Chemistry	Extra classes were taken focusing on nomenclature, formulas and organic reaction to provide clarity on the topic organic chemistry.	Protecto

HOD

Maths & Sc. Dept.
HOD

Maths & Sc. Department
Govt. Polytechnic.Puri

		Excellent		Very Good		Good		Poor		Very Por	ir.
Criteria of Feedback	Total No. of students	No. of Students	56	No. of Students	53	No. of Students	34.	No. of Students	74	No. of I	5%
CCL. Has the Toscher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	7	5	71	1	14	1	14	0	0	0	0
© 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class.	7	3	43	-2	29	I	29.	0	.0.	60	0
O 3 Has the Teacher covered relevant Topics beyond syllabus	7	4	57	+	14	3	25	D		-	0
Or 4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	¥	ı	57	3	2.9	-1.	14	0	0	0	0
n s effectiveness of Teacher in seems of Communication skills	7	- 10	71	3	14	1	14	0	0	0	0
O.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching alds	1	4	57	2	29	0	0	U	0		0
in it paraion which contents also covered	2	5	71	92	25	0	A	9	0		1 3
2.8 Motivation and insperation for students to learn	7	4	57	3	20	1	1.0	0	ē	0	1
0.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	7	-6	86	1	14	0	0	0	0	-0	-
(2, 10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	7	4	57	120	29	1	3.9	0	0		
© 11 Feedback provided on students Progress	9	3	71	1	10	1	14	0	0		
The state of the s	7		72	1	14	1	20	10	0	0	

Signature of HOD Math & Sc. Dept.

HOD


Matha & Sc. Dept.

God, Polytachtic, Pull



18 8 1	100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	8	2								Q.11 Willingness to offer help and advice to students
-	1	-	-	-	1	c)	2	98	852	11	Q 10 Feedback provided on students Progress
P 1		0 0	0		-	13		84	26	31	to questions
10 1		2 6		- 63		10	ω	57	27	31	O.9 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded
		, ,		w	-	10	Las	00	27	31	Q 8 Support for the development of students skill (1)
		0 1		. 0	0	a	Pel	29.	129	31	C Grace on which contains not for sudents to rearn
	0 0	5 15	. 0	ď	10	(7)	10	100	77	31	O. S. State Manage of Teacher In Spins of Mischell State of the State
		0	0	0	c	E	۵	117	22	11	
	. 6	0		a	io	10	163	90	28	Ħ	C.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication
			(8		4-	6.		75	13	þ	of Technical content/Course content
	9				4	6	Q	00	SH	33	topics beyond syllabus
	1	0	. 0	0	. 0	10	·	87	27	i de pue	Q1 Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?
	Shadelity Por Oh	38	Mo. of	SR.	No. of Students	20.	No. of Students	M.	No. of Students	Total No. of students	Criteria of Feedback

Criteria of Feedback	lty: Anuja Khatua	Excellent		Very Good		Good	-	227			
	Total No. of	No. of		No. of				Poor		Very Foor	
	students	Students	96	Students	%	No. of Students	×	No.of Students	36	No. of Stationer	16
1. Has the Tracher covered entire Syllabus as rescribed by University/ College/Board?	31	25	81	3	10	14	2	0	0	2	6
2 Has the Teacher covered relevant opics beyond cyllabus	31	23	74	4	13	,2		1	7.	1	3
1.3 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	31	2417	37	4	13	2	6	0		1	3
2.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication (kids)	31	25	81	3	10	2	6	16	.00	1	1
2.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids	33	lis	84	2	E	2:	10	19	9	1	1
Q. & Pace on which contents were covered.	31	24	77	1	10	,	10	0	0	3	3
Q.7 Motivation and implication to: students to learn	31	23	21.2	-	17	3	3	3	1	3	3
Q.8 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	31	25	81	2	6	1	10	0	0	3	3
Q.9 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	31	27	87	3	10	0	0	0		1 1	100
Q.10 Feedback provided on students Progress	31	27	87	0	0	- 1	3	0		9 3	
Q-11 Willingness to offer help and advice to students	31	27	87	2	0	1	3	0		0 1	





Criteria of Feedback	Farme of Faculty	Excellent		Very Good	Son sugar to	Good		Foor			
	Total No. of students	No. of Students	16.	No. of Students	*	Students	*	Na. of Students	4	Very Rope	*
L. Has the Teacher covered, antice Syllabor as escribed by University/ College/Board?	47	36	77	5	11	3	63	0	0	1:	
2 Has the Teacher covered relevant opics beyond syllabus	47	30)	81	*	97	2		10	0.	1	4
2.3 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	87	35	74	6	н	3			*	1	4
Q 4 Effectiveness of Teacher in Terms of Communication	47	1941	81	18	17	1	Z	9	· ·	14	
(1.5. 1 House, or one) of the school in the control of the left reaching and	17	285	281	2	15	7.	4			1.35	8
12. 6 Hace on Whith contents were covered	47	35	74	7	15	3	-1.9	15	2	1 8	
Q a biconsection and myphalium for stydents to learn	-57	30	77	40	19	0	.0	1	2	70	1.
O. & Support for the development of students skill (r) Prectical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	47	37	79	4	9	2	4	1	2	3	
C.5 Was the teacher incouraged discussions and	47	37	75	- 6	13	1	2	=	0	3	-
responded to questions	47	35	94	7.	35	1	4	1	2	1	1
Q.10 Feedback provided un stodents Progress	47	35	74		17	9	4	0	4	1	1
Q.11 Williagness to offer help and advice in students								34	Maths Covi.	HOD 6 Sc. De Polytech	partine partine inic.Pu



Name of Faculty: Prat	Total No. of	Excellent		Very Good Good				Poor		Very Foor		
	students	No. of Students	76	No of Students	36	No. of Students	55	the of students	%	No. of Students	×	
Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by niversity/ College/Board?	14	7	50	-74	29	3	21	.0.	0	6	0	
2 Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class	14	#	64	2	14	3	21		0		0	
1.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	24	6	113	- 6	29		29	-10	0	9	0	
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	30	И	79	7	50	3	1.4	0	0	1	1	
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills	3.4	9	64	2	14	2	14	10	7	0	0	
O 6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of use of teaching aids	14	6	43	- 6	43	-	14		0	-	3	
G. 7 Pace on which contents were covered	14	-7	50	9	36		14		- 0			
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	14	7	50	*	25	2	24	0	0		+	
and the development of students skill (i)	14	7	50	- 5	35	=	13	0	1	1		
Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training Q. 10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and	14	8	57	3	2	1 2	1		4	1 0	4	
responded to questions	14		43	1 5	3	8 2	1.3	4 1	-	1 3	-	
O 11 Feedback provided on Students Progress	14	1	51	0 3	2	1 4	12	9 0		0 0		
Q.17 Willingness to offer help and advice to students						Signati	STATE OF	HOD MAR HOD MAR & Sc. C & Polyto	38.50	DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF T		

PDF Scanner ACE Scanner

4 4.13