

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

soumyasubhammohapatra@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binoybehera90@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sasmalomkar7@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

anuragsubudhi07@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

satyanandamishra39@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lijakatayat@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

yasobantakar@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lijakatayat@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binoybehera90@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

yasobantakar@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lijakatayat@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

satyanandamishra39@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

nayaksagarnd@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barik.ritesh123@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☐ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

yasobant@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binoybehera90@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lijakatayat@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sasmalomkar7@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

satyanandamishra39@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Good

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

nayaksagarnd@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lijakatayat@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

yasobant@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☐ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barik.ritesh123@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Very good

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binoybehera90@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

asishb057@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

yasobant@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

nayaksagarnd@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

pradhanbarsharani403@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sasmalomkar7@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

saswatisatarupa48@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Good

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester



3rd sem

Branch



Civil Engg.

SUBJECT



SM



GEO TECH



BMCT



EC-I



EVS



Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sipun1570@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

nayaksagarnd@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

naikshibani005@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

yasobant@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jyotikamohapatra91@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binoybehera90@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

V good

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

binodkumarbehera03@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sasmalomkar7@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

asishb057@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

satyanandamishra39@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

biswajitdash36747@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

nayaksagarnd@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barik.ritesh123@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

yasobant@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sipun1570@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

diptimayeepradhan425@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sasmalomkar7@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barik.ritesh123@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

susandhyasahoo245@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sipun1570@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

V good

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

satyanandamishra39@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barik.ritesh123@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sipun1570@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jigyansupaikaray355@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

barikpabitrakumar81@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

naikshibani005@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

naikshibani005@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

naikshibani005@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☒ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☒ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

gyanaranjanmallik529@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☐ GEO TECH

☒ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhankarsahoosubhankar315@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 3rd sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ SM

☒ GEO TECH

☐ BMCT

☐ EC-I

☐ EVS

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect.
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

Civil feedback

TEACHING & LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sagarbarik866@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sagarbarik866@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhrajithati2002@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhrajithati2002@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhrajithati2002@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhrajithati2002@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhrajithati2002@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jenaajay605@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bapuanshuman@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☐ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jenaajay605@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

paridasoumya87654321@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☐ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

.....

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bapuanshuman@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jenaajay605@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

architabehera161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bapuanshuman@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhamkumarnayak330@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jenaajay605@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

architabehera161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jayarammahapatra608@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

jenaajay605@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bapuanshuman@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bikashchandrasahoo52@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

monalisasahoo 161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

architabehera161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bapuanshuman@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bijaykumar6076@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sahoomprakash346@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lopamudrajena75@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

monalisasahoo161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

architabehera161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

architabehera161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

manuajena2@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lopamudrajena75@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sahoomprakash346@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

monalisasahoo161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lopamudrajena75@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bijaykumar6076@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☒ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

suryanarayanp903@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sahoomprakash346@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lopamudrajena75@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

monalisasahoo161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bijaykumar6076@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Ayushkumarsahoo538@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sahooomprakash346@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

lopamudrajena75@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bijaykumar6076@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhamsekhardash73@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sahooomprakash346@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☒ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bijaykumar6076@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

monalisasahoo161@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

suryanarayanp903@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☒ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

manuajena2@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhamsekhardash73@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

bijaykumar6076@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

beherasuryakanta2006@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

manuajena2@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

subhamsekhardash73@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

suryanarayanp903@ Gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

manuajena2@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

sahoomprakash346@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☒ Other: CE LAB

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

suryanarayanp903@ Gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

manuajena2@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

suryanarayanp903@ Gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

Subhasmitamohanty990@gmail.co

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

cjena471@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

tridevdas969@gmail.com

Semester

☐ 5th sem

Branch

☐ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

tridevdas969@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☒ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☒ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☒ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☒ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

tridevdas969@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☒ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

tridevdas969@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☒ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.

☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect

☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF

☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF

☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF

☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☒ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☐ Excellent

☐ Very good

☒ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

tridevdas969@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☒ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☒ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☐ Excellent

☒ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

tridevdas969@gmail.com

Semester

☒ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☒ EC-II

☐ Other: _____

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☐ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☒ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK 5TH SEM 2023-24

CIVIL ENGG.

Email

asutoshpradhan969@gmail.com

Semester

☐ 5th sem

Branch

☒ Civil Engg.

SUBJECT

☐ EM&ST

☐ SD-II

☐ R&B ENGG.

☐ WS&WWE

☐ EC-II

☐ Other:

Name of the faculty

- ☐ Snigdha Mishra,Sr. Lect.
- ☒ Madhusmita Sahoo,Lect
- ☐ Kusmita Nayak,PTGF
- ☐ Suryakant Dash,PTGF
- ☐ Biranchi Narayan Mishra,PTGF
- ☐ Other:

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q3.Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Very poor
- ☐ Other:

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

☒ Excellent

☐ Very good

☐ Good

☐ Poor

☐ Very poor

☐ Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



FEEDBACK 5TH SEM (2023-24)



9

Questions Responses 117 Settings

Accepting responses ☒

Summary


Question

Individual

Email

95 responses

Copy chart



Smester

95 responses

Copy chart



Branch

94 responses

Copy chart



SUBJECT

96 responses

Copy chart






FEEDBACK 5TH SEM (2023-24)



Questions Responses 97 Settings



- CU-II
- R&B ENGG.
- WS&WWE
- EC-II
- CE LAB

Name of the faculty

97 responses

Copy chart




- Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.
- Medhusmita Sahoo, Lect.
- Kusmita Nayak, PTGF
- Suryakant Dash, PTGF
- Biranchi Narayan Mishra, PTGF

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

97 responses

Copy chart



- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

96 responses

Copy chart



- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q3. Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

97 responses


Copy chart



- Excellent
- Very good

FEEDBACK 5TH SEM (2023-24)

Questions Responses 97 Settings




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q5. Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

Copy chart

97 responses




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q6. Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

Copy chart

97 responses




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q7. Pace on which contents were covered ?

Copy chart

97 responses



- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q8. Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

Copy chart

97 responses



- Excellent
- Very good



FEEDBACK 5TH SEM (2023-24)




9

Questions Responses 1/7 Settings

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration (ii)Hands on training ?

Copy chart

97 responses




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions ?

Copy chart

97 responses




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

Copy chart

97 responses



- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

Copy chart

97 responses



- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor
-

STUDENT FEEDBACK 3RD SEM(2023-24)


118 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

Email

 Copy


103 responses



Semester

 Copy


118 responses



Branch

 Copy


116 responses



SUBJECT

 Copy


118 responses



Name of the faculty

 Copy

118 responses




- Snigdha Mishra, Sr. Lect.
- Madhusmita Sahoo, Lect.
- Kusmita Nayak, PTGF
- Biranchi Narayan Mishra, PTGF
- Suryakant Dash, PTGF

Civil feedback

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board ?

 Copy

116 responses




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

 Copy

117 responses




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor

Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

 Copy

117 responses




- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Poor
- Very poor



Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content ?

 Copy


116 responses



Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

 Copy


117 responses



Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids ?

 Copy


118 responses



Q. 7 Pace on which contents were covered ?

 Copy


118 responses



Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn ?

 Copy


117 responses



Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training ?

 Copy


118 responses



Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.

 Copy


117 responses



Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.

 Copy


116 responses



Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to student.



118 responses



This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. - [Contact form owner](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

Does this form look suspicious? [Report](#)

Google Forms






Name of the faculty

 Copy

97 responses




TEACHING AND LEARNING

Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University/College/Board ?

 Copy


97 responses



Q2. Was the Teacher organised and prepared for every class ?

 Copy


96 responses



Q3. Has the teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus ?

 Copy


97 responses



Q4.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of teacher content/course content ?

 Copy


97 responses



Q5.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of Communication skills ?

 Copy


97 responses



Q6.Effectiveness of teacher in terms of use of teaching aids ?

 Copy


97 responses



Q7.Pace on which contents were covered ?

 Copy


97 responses




Q8.Motivation and inspiration for students o learn ?

 Copy


97 responses

Q9.Support for development of students (i)Practical demonstration
(ii)Hands on training ? Copy

97 responses

Q10.Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to
questions ? Copy


97 responses



GoodQ11.Feedback provided on students progress.

 Copy


97 responses



Q12.Willingness to offer help and advice to student.

 Copy

97 responses



This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. - [Contact form owner](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

Does this form look suspicious? [Report](#)

Google Forms






Name of the student	1. C++ Basics		2. C++ Computer Graphics		3. C++ Database		4. C++ Database		5. C++ Database		6. C++ Database		7. C++ Database		8. C++ Database		9. C++ Database		10. C++ Database		11. C++ Database		12. C++ Database		13. C++ Database		14. C++ Database		15. C++ Database		16. C++ Database		17. C++ Database		18. C++ Database		19. C++ Database		20. C++ Database		21. C++ Database		22. C++ Database		23. C++ Database		24. C++ Database		25. C++ Database		26. C++ Database		27. C++ Database		28. C++ Database		29. C++ Database		30. C++ Database		31. C++ Database		32. C++ Database		33. C++ Database		34. C++ Database		35. C++ Database		36. C++ Database		37. C++ Database		38. C++ Database		39. C++ Database		40. C++ Database		41. C++ Database		42. C++ Database		43. C++ Database		44. C++ Database		45. C++ Database		46. C++ Database		47. C++ Database		48. C++ Database		49. C++ Database		50. C++ Database		51. C++ Database		52. C++ Database		53. C++ Database		54. C++ Database		55. C++ Database		56. C++ Database		57. C++ Database		58. C++ Database		59. C++ Database		60. C++ Database		61. C++ Database		62. C++ Database		63. C++ Database		64. C++ Database		65. C++ Database		66. C++ Database		67. C++ Database		68. C++ Database		69. C++ Database		70. C++ Database		71. C++ Database		72. C++ Database		73. C++ Database		74. C++ Database		75. C++ Database		76. C++ Database		77. C++ Database		78. C++ Database		79. C++ Database		80. C++ Database		81. C++ Database		82. C++ Database		83. C++ Database		84. C++ Database		85. C++ Database		86. C++ Database		87. C++ Database		88. C++ Database		89. C++ Database		90. C++ Database		91. C++ Database		92. C++ Database		93. C++ Database		94. C++ Database		95. C++ Database		96. C++ Database		97. C++ Database		98. C++ Database		99. C++ Database		100. C++ Database		101. C++ Database		102. C++ Database		103. C++ Database		104. C++ Database		105. C++ Database		106. C++ Database		107. C++ Database		108. C++ Database		109. C++ Database		110. C++ Database		111. C++ Database		112. C++ Database		113. C++ Database		114. C++ Database		115. C++ Database		116. C++ Database		117. C++ Database		118. C++ Database		119. C++ Database		120. C++ Database		121. C++ Database		122. C++ Database		123. C++ Database		124. C++ Database		125. C++ Database		126. C++ Database		127. C++ Database		128. C++ Database		129. C++ Database		130. C++ Database		131. C++ Database		132. C++ Database		133. C++ Database		134. C++ Database		135. C++ Database		136. C++ Database		137. C++ Database		138. C++ Database		139. C++ Database	
---------------------	---------------	--	--------------------------	--	-----------------	--	-----------------	--	-----------------	--	-----------------	--	-----------------	--	-----------------	--	-----------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE
(GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC, PURI)**

At-Moto Po-Chapamanik via-Bramhagiri Dist-Puri-752011Ph.:06752-235982
E-mail id:gppurimath&sc@gmail.com, Website:www.gppuri.in

Faculties of Math and Science departments are suggested to take following actions to improve their teaching & learning based on the feedback received from students of Civil, Electrical and Mechanical branch for the Session 2023-24.






Sl. No	Name of Faculty	Discipline	Action to be taken
1	Mr. Parmananda Dash, Sr. Lect. in CA	Computer Application	Focus on practicing of programmes in lab. Periods by each student.
2	Mrs. Prajna . P. Rout, Lect. in Phys.	Engg. Physics	Use of more teaching aids and models in classes for better understanding of students.
3	Mrs. Anuja Khatua, Lect. In Eng.	Communicative English	Focus on improvement of speaking skills of students.
4	Ms. Sumitra Pradhan Lect. In Maths.	Engg. Maths	Practice of previous year question papers for student..
5	Ms. P. Priyadarshinee PTGF in Chemistry	Engg. Chemistry	Extra classes for students for organic chemistry needs to taken.



08/03/2024
HOD
Maths & Sc. Dept
Maths & Sc. Department
Govt. Polytechnic, Puri

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE (GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC, PURI)

At-Moto Po-Chapamanik via-Bramhagiri Dist-Puri-752011 Ph. 06752-235982
E-mail id: gppurimath&sc@gmail.com, Website: www.gppuri.in

Following actions were taken by each faculty members of departments for improving their teaching based on the feedback received from students of Civil, Electrical and Mechanical branch for the Session 2023-24.

Sl. No	Name of Faculty	Discipline	Action taken	Signature of Faculty
1.	Mr. Parmarianda Dash, Sr. Lect. in CA	Computer Application	Extra laboratory classes were taken for practice of program using computer.	
2.	Mrs. Prajna P. Rout, Lect. in Phys.	Engg. Physics	Model were prepared for chapter on optics, Friction and electromagnetism for better understanding of students	
3.	Mrs. Anuja Khatua, Lect. In Eng.	Communicative English	Extra classes were taken for improving speaking skills of students.	
4.	Ms. Sumitra Pradhan Lect. In Maths.	Engg. Maths	Previous year question papers were discussed in class and given as home assignment for practice.	
5.	Ms. P. Priyadarshinee PTGF in Chemistry	Engg. Chemistry	Extra classes were taken focusing on nomenclature, formulas and organic reaction to provide clarity on the topic organic chemistry.	


06/04/2023
HOD

Maths & Sc. Dept.
HOD

Maths & Sc. Department
Govt. Polytechnic, Puri

Feed Back Analysis Report Session 2023-24, Sem-1st

Name of Faculty: Paramananda Das, (Sr. Lect. in Computer Application), Sub: Computer Application

Criteria of Feedback	Total No. of students	Excellent		Very Good		Good		Poor		Very Poor	
		No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	7	5	71	1	14	1	14	0	0	0	0
Q 2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class.	7	3	43	2	29	2	29	0	0	0	0
Q 3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	7	4	57	1	14	2	29	0	0	0	0
Q 4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	7	4	57	2	29	1	14	0	0	0	0
Q 5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills	7	5	71	1	14	1	14	0	0	0	0
Q 6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids	7	4	57	2	29	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q 7 Pace at which contents were covered	7	5	71	2	29	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q 8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	7	4	57	2	29	1	14	0	0	0	0
Q 9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	7	6	86	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q 10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions	7	4	57	2	29	1	14	0	0	0	0
Q 11 Feedback provided on students Progress	7	5	71	1	14	1	14	0	0	0	0
Q 12 Willingness to offer help and advice to students	7	5	71	1	14	1	14	0	0	0	0

Signature of HOD Math & Sc. Dept.
HOD
Maths & Sc. Department
Govt. Polytechnic, Puri

Feed Back Analysis Report Session 2023-24, Sem-1st

Name of Faculty: Prajna Priyadarshinee Rouh, (lect. in Physics), Sub: Engrg. Physics

Criteria of Feedback	Total No. of students	Excellent No. of Students	%	Very Good No. of Students	%	Good No. of Students	%	Poor No. of Students	%	Very Poor No. of Students	%
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	31	27	87	3	10	0	0	0	0	1	3
Q.2 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	31	25	81	5	16	0	0	1	3	0	0
Q.3 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/course content	31	29	94	1	3	1	3	0	0	0	0
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills	31	28	90	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids	31	27	87	4	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids	31	27	87	2	6	2	6	0	0	0	0
Q.6 Have all which contents were covered	31	29	94	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q.7 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	31	27	87	3	10	1	3	0	0	0	0
Q.8 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	31	27	87	3	10	1	3	0	0	0	0
Q.9 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	31	26	84	4	13	1	3	0	0	0	0
Q.10 Feedback provided on students Progress	31	28	90	2	6	1	3	0	0	0	0
Q.11 Willingness to offer help and advice to students	31	28	90	2	6	1	3	0	0	0	0


Signature of HOD Math & Sc. Dept

HOD

Maths & Sc. Department
Govt. Polytechnic, Put


Feed Back Analysis Report Session 2023-24, Sem-1st
Name of Faculty: Anuja Khatusa, (Lect. In English), Sub: Communicative English

Criteria of Feedback	Total No. of students	Excellent		Very Good		Good		Poor		Very Poor	
		No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%
Q1: Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	31	25	81	3	10	1	3	0	0	2	6
Q2: Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	31	23	74	4	13	2	6	1	3	1	3
Q3: Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	31	24	77	4	13	2	6	0	0	1	3
Q4: Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills	31	25	81	3	10	2	6	0	0	1	3
Q5: Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids	31	21	64	2	6	2	6	0	0	2	6
Q6: Pace on which contents were covered	31	24	77	1	10	3	10	0	0	1	3
Q7: Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	31	25	81	4	13	1	3	0	0	1	3
Q8: Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	31	25	81	2	6	3	10	0	0	1	3
Q9: Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	31	27	87	3	10	0	0	0	0	1	3
Q10: Feedback provided on students Progress	31	27	87	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	3
Q11: Willingness to offer help and advice to students	31	27	87	2	6	1	3	0	0	1	3


 Signature of HOD Math & Sc. Dept.
 HOD
 Maths & Sc. Department
 Govt. Polytechnic, Puri

Feed Back Analysis Report Session 2023-24, Sem-1st
 Name of Faculty: Sumitra Pradhan, (lect. in Mathematics), Sub: Engg. Mathematics-I

Name of Faculty: Sumitra Pradhan, (Less In Mathematics), Sub: Engg. Mathematics-I											
Criteria of Feedback	Total No. of students	Excellent	%	Very Good	%	Good	%	Poor	%	Very Poor	%
		No. of Students		No. of Students		No. of Students		No. of Students		No. of Students	
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	47	36	77	5	11	3	6	0	0	1	2
Q.2 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	47	30	64	4	9	2	4	0	0	1	2
Q.3 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	47	35	74	6	13	3	6	0	0	1	2
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills	47	34	72	8	17	1	2	0	0	1	2
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids	47	31	66	3	6	2	4	0	0	1	2
Q.6 Place on which contents were covered	47	35	74	7	15	2	4	1	2	2	4
Q.7 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	47	31	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q.8 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	47	37	79	4	9	2	4	1	2	3	6
Q.9 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions	47	37	79	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Q.10 Feedback provided on students Progress	47	35	74	7	15	2	4	1	2	1	2
Q.11 Willingness to offer help and advice to students	47	35	74	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0


 Signature of HOD Math & Sc. Dept.
 HOD
 Maths & Sc. Department
 Govt. Polytechnic, Purī

Feed Back Analysis Report Session 2023-24, Sem-1st
Name of Faculty: Pratyasha Priyadarshinee, (PTGF in Chemistry), Sub: Engg. Chemistry

Criteria of Feedback	Total No. of students	Excellent		Very Good		Good		Poor		Very Poor	
		No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%	No. of Students	%
Q1. Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University/ College/Board?	14	7	50	4	29	3	21	0	0	0	0
Q.2. Was the teacher organised and prepared for every class	14	5	64	2	14	3	21	0	0	0	0
Q.3 Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus.	14	6	43	4	29	4	29	0	0	0	0
Q.4 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Technical content/Course content	14	4	29	7	50	2	14	0	0	1	7
Q.5 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Communication skills	14	9	64	2	14	2	14	1	7	0	0
Q.6 Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of Use of teaching aids	14	6	43	6	43	2	14	0	0	0	0
Q.7 Pace on which contents were covered	14	7	50	5	36	2	14	0	0	0	0
Q.8 Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	14	7	50	4	29	2	14	0	0	1	7
Q.9 Support for the development of students skill (i) Practical demonstration (ii) Hands on training	14	7	50	5	36	2	14	0	0	1	7
Q.10 Was the teacher encouraged discussions and responded to questions.	14	8	57	3	21	2	14	1	7.1	0	0
Q.11 Feedback provided on students Progress.	14	1	43	5	36	2	14	1	7.1	0	0
Q.12 Willingness to offer help and advice to students	14	7	50	3	21	4	29	0	0	0	0

Signature of HOD Math & Sc. Dept.
HOD

Maths & Sc. Department
Govt. Polytechnic, Puri